

07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 72

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** EDWARD STREET QUARTER DRAFT  
PLANNING BRIEF

**AUTHOR:** JO THOMPSON

### **THE DECISION**

That the Economic Development and Culture Committee approve the Edward Street Quarter, draft planning brief attached as an Appendix to the report for consultation with members of the public and other stakeholders.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

Public consultation in respect of planning documents is an integral element in ensuring that wide ranging views are taken into account and inform the council's decision-making process.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

An alternative option is to "do nothing" i.e. not to produce a planning brief. This option has been discounted because of the need to address the future regeneration of this area in a comprehensive manner. Under the existing Section 106 Agreement, there is a requirement for the former American Express building to be demolished by no later than 2016. This has highlighted the need for new planning guidance to provide clarity to developers regarding the appropriate scale of development, potential land uses and other material planning issues with regard to any future proposals and planning applications in the development area.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 73

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM  
OFFICE TO RESIDENTIAL PERMITTED  
DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

**AUTHOR:** HELEN GREGORY

### **THE DECISION**

That the Committee approves and endorses the area specific application and case made for exemption from office to residential permitted development rights that has been submitted to the Secretary of State to meet the required deadline.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

To enable protection of important and accessible office space, deliver the City Plan and protect businesses and jobs in the city.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

Options relating to whether to proceed with an exemption application or not have been weighed up. The decision to apply was based upon concerns relating to potential impact of office to residential permitted development rights on the supply of offices in key areas in the city and the impact it will have on the strategy underpinning the City Plan.

A number of options have been considered relating to the geographical area covered by the exemption application. The areas have been decided on the basis of the evidence provided and the requirement of the government to keep the area of exemption to 'the smallest area necessary'.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.



07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 74

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** MEDINA HOUSE - PLANNING BRIEF

**AUTHOR:** CLARE FLOWERS

### **THE DECISION**

That the Economic Development & Culture Committee approves the draft planning brief as forming the basis of a public consultation exercise.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

Public consultation in respect of planning documents is an integral element in ensuring that wide ranging views are taken into account and inform the council's decision-making process.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

An alternative option is to not produce a planning brief. This option has been discounted as the site has been the subject of a number of development proposals and planning applications over the last few years that have not received the support of the local planning authority. This brief is intended to provide clarity and guidance to developers concerning the appropriate scale, potential land use and other planning issues with regard to any future development proposals for the site.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 75

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING  
DOCUMENT 12: DESIGN GUIDE FOR  
EXTENSIONS AND ALTERATIONS

**AUTHOR:** ADRIAN SMITH

### **THE DECISION**

That the Economic Development and Culture Committee approves the second draft 'Design Guide for Extensions and Alterations' as a Supplementary Planning Document for the purposes of formal public consultation.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

The next stage of producing an SPD requires formal public consultation on draft proposals and it is considered that such a draft should be subject to Committee approval.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

Alternative options were evaluated as part of the Sustainability Appraisal, including an option would have relied on primary policy and Government guidance only. The option of producing an SPD was considered to be the most effective and sustainable option. This approach was also supported by the initial consultation.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 76

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY  
(CIL)

**AUTHOR:** MIKE HOLFORD

### **THE DECISION**

That the committee notes the findings of the CIL Viability Assessment and agrees to undertake consultation on the indicative rates of CIL attached to this report and to receive a report on this consultation at a later stage with a draft CIL charging schedule for the City.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

Given the current economic uncertainty and the need for maximum flexibility to ensure the viability of development proposals the proposed cautious approach and early informal consultation on CIL is considered the most appropriate.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

Alternative options for CIL would be to not adopt CIL at all or to start producing a CIL charging schedule immediately.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 77

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** MAINTENANCE OF HISTORIC  
BUILDINGS

**AUTHOR:** TIM JEFFERIES

### **THE DECISION**

That the updated register of listed buildings that are considered to be 'at risk' is endorsed (Appendix 1).

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

The report recommendation will allow resources to be directed to those historic buildings that are most in need of repair and to ensure a consistent and transparent approach to any future enforcement action.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

N/a.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xi) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

07 March 2013

This record relates to Agenda Item 78A

## **RECORD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & CULTURE COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** DESIGNATION OF ROTTINGDEAN  
PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA

**AUTHOR:** REBECCA FRY

### **THE DECISION**

That the Committee approve the designation of Rottingdean Parish Neighbourhood Area.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

After considering all relevant matters, highlighted in this report, it is considered the specified area is an 'appropriate area to be designated as a Neighbourhood Area'. Indeed there are no identified valid reasons to refuse this designation. It is therefore recommended the designation of the Rottingdean Parish Neighbourhood Area be approved.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

This is a statutory procedure therefore non designation or the seeking of an alternative boundary without justification could lead to legal challenges. It is not considered there are any reasons to justify an alternative option.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 8 March 2013

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xiii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xiv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.